Abstract—Leakage power dissipation has become a major portion of total power consumption in the integrated device and is expected to grow exponentially in the next decade as per International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors (ITRS). This directly affects the battery operated devices as it has long idle times. Thus by scaling down the threshold voltage has tremendously increased the sub threshold leakage current thereby making the static power dissipation very high. To overcome this problem several techniques have been proposed to overcome this high leakage power dissipation. A comprehensive survey and analysis of various leakage power minimization techniques is presented in this paper. Of the available techniques, eight techniques are considered for the analysis namely, Multi Threshold CMOS (MTCMOS), Super Cut-off CMOS (SCCMOS), Forced Transistor Stacking (FTS) and Sleepy Stack (SS), Sleepy keeper (SK), Dual Stack (OS), and LECTOR. From the results, it is observed that Lector techniques produces lower power dissipation than the other techniques due to the ability of power gating.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With recent advancements in semiconductor technology the density of transistors in Integrated Circuits is still growing, which in turn demands expensive cooling and packaging technologies. Keeping this in view, the supply voltages are scaled down for reducing the switching power dissipation. Moreover, the threshold voltage is also scaled down for the performance tradeoffs. However, the scaling of threshold voltage has resulted in exponential increase of subthreshold leakage current causing leakage (static) power dissipation. Static power dissipation is now growing considerably proportional to the switching dynamic power dissipation in deep submicron technologies and battery operated devices. The longer the battery lasts, the better the leakage power savings[2]-[3]. Static power dissipation is mainly due to the leakage current components flowing in the CMOS transistor or CMOS circuits when there is no operation performed on it i.e.) during idle or standby mode. It is expected that the leakage power can increase 32 times per device [3] by 2020.

The four main sources of leakage current in a CMOS transistor are i) Reverse-biased junction leakage current ii) Gate induced drain leakage iii) Gate direct-tunneling leakage and iv) Subthreshold (weak inversion) leakage current. The subthreshold leakage current being the most predominant amongst all the leakage current sources becomes extremely challenging for research in current and future silicon technologies.

II. Literature Review

Subthreshold leakage current \(I_{\text{sub}}\) in MOS transistors, which occurs when the gate voltage is below the threshold voltage and mainly, consists of diffusion current. Off-state leakage in present-day devices is usually dominated by this type of leakage. An effect called drain-induced barrier lowering (DIBL) takes place when a high-drain voltage is applied to a short channel device. The source injects carriers into the channel surface (independent of gate voltage). Narrow width of the transistor can also modulate the threshold voltage and the subthreshold current.

\[
I_{\text{sub}} = \mu_0 C_{\text{ox}} \frac{W}{L} V^2 \exp \left[ \frac{V_{\text{th}} - V}{nV} \right]
\]

(1.1)

where, \(\mu_0\) is the zero bias mobility, \(C_{\text{ox}}\) is the gate oxide capacitance, and \((W/L)\) represents the width to the length ratio of the leaking MOS device. The variable \(V\) in equation 1.1 is the thermal voltage constant, and \(V_{\text{th}}\) represents the gate to the source voltage. The parameter \(n\) in equation 1.1 is the subthreshold swing coefficient given by \(1 + (C_{\text{ox}}/C_{\text{dr}})\) with \(C_{\text{dr}}\) being the depletion layer capacitance of the source/drain junction. One important point about equation 1.1 is that the sub threshold leakage current is exponentially proportional to \((V_{\text{th}} - V)\). Shorter channel length results in lower threshold voltages and increases subthreshold leakage. As temperature increases, subthreshold leakage is also increased. On the other hand, when the well-to-source junction of a MOSFET is reverse biased, there is a body effect that increases the threshold voltage and decreases subthreshold leakage.

Gate oxide tunneling current \(I_{\text{gate}}\) in which tunneling of electrons that can result in leakage when there is a high electric field across a thin gate oxide layer. Electrons may tunnel into the conduction band of the oxide layer; this is called Fowler-Nordheim tunneling. In oxide layers less than 3-4 nm thick, there can also be direct tunneling through the silicon oxide layer. Mechanisms for direct tunneling include electron tunneling in the conduction band, electron tunneling in the valence band, and hole tunneling in the valence band.
III. Leakage reduction techniques - a survey

There are various leakage power reduction techniques based on modes of operation of systems. The two operational modes are a) active mode and b) standby (or idle mode). Most of the techniques aim at power reduction by shutting down the power supply to the system or circuit during standby mode.

A. Dual threshold CMOS (DTMOS)
This technique uses high-threshold voltage transistors (device) on non-critical paths to reduce the leakage power. To maintain circuit performance on critical paths low-threshold transistors are used. This approach requires an algorithm that searches for the gates where the high-threshold voltage devices can be used [8]-[11]. This technique has been widely known as Dual Vth CMOS. In Dynamic Threshold CMOS (DTCMOS), the gate and body of each transistor are tied together so that the leakage is low, when the transistor is OFF. The current will be high if the transistor is ON [7].

B. Variable threshold CMOS (VTMOS)
This technique involves dynamically modifying the threshold voltage during active mode, which is classically known as standby power reduction (SPR). In this method the threshold voltage \( V_{th} \) is raised during the standby mode by connecting the substrate voltage either lower than (for N transistors) or higher than ground (for P transistors). The major drawback of this technique is that it requires an additional power supply, which may not be appropriate in some commercial designs.

C. Power gating – Multi threshold CMOS (MTCMOS)
In MTCMOS, a SLEEP transistor is formed by inserting high threshold devices in series with low threshold transistors between the power supply and ground [9] as shown in fig.1. During active mode the sleep transistors are turned ON, so that the normal operation is not affected as there is a path between the supply and the ground. In standby mode the sleep transistors are turned off thereby shutting down the power supply to the circuit creating virtual supply and ground rails. This technique is popularly known as SLEEP TRANSISTOR

A. SLEEP MODE APPROACH
We here review previously proposed circuit level approaches for subthreshold leakage power reduction. The most well-known traditional approach is the sleep approach [2][3]. In the sleep approach, both (i) an additional "sleep" PMOS transistor is placed between VDD and the pull-up network of a circuit and (ii) an additional "sleep" NMOS transistor is placed between the pull-down network and GND. These sleep transistors turn off the circuit by cutting off the power rails. Figure 1 shows its structure. The sleep transistors are turned on when the circuit is active and turned off when the circuit is idle. By cutting off the power source, this technique can reduce leakage power effectively. However, output will be floating after sleep mode, so the technique results in destruction of state plus a floating output voltage.

B. STACK APPROACH
Another leakage power reduction technique is the stack approach, which forces a stack affect by breaking down an existing transistor into two half size transistors. Subthreshold leakage is exponentially related to the threshold voltage of the device, and the threshold voltage changes due to body effect [4]. From these two facts, one can reduce the subthreshold leakage in the device by stacking two or more transistors serially [5]. The transistors above the lowest transistor will experience a higher threshold voltage due to the difference in the voltage between the source and body as shown in Figure 2. Also, the \( V_{ds} \) of the higher transistor is decreased, since the intermediate node has a voltage above the ground. These results in reduction of DIBL effect hence better leakage savings. However, forced stack devices have a strong performance degradation that must be taken into account when applying the technique [3-5].
The main idea behind the sleepy stack technique is to combine the sleep transistor approach during active mode with the stack approach during sleep mode. The structure of the sleepy stack approach is shown in Fig. 3. The sleepy stack technique divides existing transistors into two transistors each typically with the same width half the size of the original single transistor’s width. Then sleep transistors are added in parallel to one of the transistors in each set of two stacked transistors; the divided transistors reduce leakage power using the stack effect while retaining state [5]. The sleepy stack technique divides existing transistors into two transistors each typically with the same width W1 half the size of the original single transistor’s width (i.e. W1 = W0/2), thus, maintaining equivalent input capacitance. The added sleep transistors operate similar to the sleep transistors used in the sleep technique in which sleep transistors are turned on during active mode and turned off during sleep mode [6]. During active mode, S=0 and S'=1 are asserted, and thus all sleep transistors are turned on. Due to the added sleep transistor, the resistance through the activated (i.e., “on”) path decreases, and the propagation delay decreases (compared to not adding sleep transistors while leaving the rest of the circuitry the same, i.e., with stacked transistors). During the sleep mode, S=1 and S'=0 are asserted, and so both of the sleep transistors are turned off. The stacked transistors in the sleepy stack approach suppress leakage current. Although the sleep transistors are turned off, the sleepy stack structure maintains exact logic state. The leakage reduction of the sleepy stack structure occurs in two ways. First, leakage power is suppressed by high-transistors, which are applied to the sleep transistors and the transistors parallel to the sleep transistors. Second, stacked and turned off transistors induce the stack effect which also suppresses leakage power consumption. By combining these two effects, the sleepy stack structure achieves ultra-low leakage power consumption during sleep mode while retaining exact logic state. The price for this, however, is increased area [4].

An additional single NMOS transistor placed in parallel to the pull-up sleep transistor connects VDD to the pull-up network. When in sleep mode, this NMOS transistor is the only source of VDD to the pull-up network since the sleep transistor is off. Similarly, to maintain a value of „0” in sleep mode, given that the „0” value has already been calculated, the sleepy keeper approach uses this output value of „0” and a PMOS transistor connected to GND to maintain output value equal to „0” when in sleep mode. As shown in Figure 3, an additional single PMOS transistor placed in parallel to the pull-down sleep transistor is the only source of GND to the case pull-down network which is the dual case of the output „1” explained above [3]. For this approach to work, all that is needed is for the NMOS connected to VDD and the PMOS connected to GND to be able to maintain proper logic state[11]. This seems likely to be possible as other researchers have described ways to use far lower VDD values to maintain logic state.
PROPOSED TECHNIQUE - MODIFIED LECTOR TECHNIQUE

In LECTOR technique two leakage control transistors (one p-type and one n-type) are introduced between pull-up and pull-down circuit within the logic gate for which the gate terminal of each leakage control transistor (LCT) is controlled by the source of the other. This arrangement ensures that one of the LCTs always operates in its near cutoff region. The basic idea behind LECTOR approach is that “a state with more than one transistor OFF in a path from supply voltage to ground is far less leaky than a state with only one transistor OFF in any supply to ground path. When deep submicron transistor is operating in subthreshold region, the standby current varies exponentially with gate to source voltage. Most of the CMOS logic circuits are composed of series and parallel combination of MOS transistors. For parallel connected MOS transistors the DC current is calculated as the sum of the currents of each parallel connected transistor. In case of series connected transistors leakage current calculation is typical due to its nonlinear characteristics. In case of near cut off operation of transistors the resistance of transistor is as high as an OFF transistor’s resistance but the available resistance is sufficient to increase the supply voltage to ground path resistance and so to reduce the leakage power dissipation.

Fig. 4. Proposed technique Sleepy Lector with high Vth transistors

Fig. 5. Output waveform of Lector with sleep
IV. Simulation Results

A 2 input NAND gate is simulated with leakage power reduction techniques sleep, forced stack, sleepy keeper and sleepy stack with DTCMOS. After analyzing the results in terms of average power consumption, dynamic power consumption, static power consumption, delay and PDP we conclude that sleepy stack with DTCMOS is producing comparatively better results. All schematics are designed on Cadence virtuoso schematic editor and simulations are done on Micro wind & Cadence spectre simulator on 65nm technology and supply voltage of 1V. The circuits are simulated with high threshold and low threshold NMOS and PMOS transistors.

Micro wind Results at 120nm

Table: 1. Average Power, delay, PDP & Area Calculation of different Technique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Average Power(uS)</th>
<th>Delay(pS)</th>
<th>PDP(fS)</th>
<th>Area(um)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two Input Nand Gate</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>.5346</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Input Nand Gate</td>
<td>17.29</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>4.322</td>
<td>11,287.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Input Lector with Nand Gate</td>
<td>14.31</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.577</td>
<td>7,672.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mux</td>
<td>4000.0</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>18,095.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Mux</td>
<td>2234.0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>254.740</td>
<td>80,727.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: 2. Leakage Power estimation at different input vector Combination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Leakage power(nA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>14.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>143.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nand Gate with Lector</td>
<td>106.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nand Gate</td>
<td>.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with Lector</td>
<td>8.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nand Gate</td>
<td>.4514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with Lector</td>
<td>8.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Conclusion

Leakage reduction technique plays a key role in VLSI circuit design. Scaling down the appropriate parameter can reduce the leakage power. It can be concluded that there is a strong correlation between three performance parameters: leakage power, delay, power delay product. There can be compromise in the performance metrics by reducing the other metric.
parameter. It can be concluded that SCCMOS provides efficient leakage power savings in stand by and forced stacking modes. LECTOR method found more effective in both stand by and active mode of operation. If propagation delay is taken as the performance metrics, then sleep transistor method is proved effective method in the stand by mode. In active mode, sleepy stack based approach is suitable for faster circuit operation. All the above methods are suitable for circuit level of abstraction.
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